```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                    DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No 18cr43(VAB)
 4
 5
                   Government,
            VS.
 6
                                  : 915 Lafayette Blvd
    GERRY MATTHEWS,
                                  : Bridgeport, CT
 7
                                  : March 7, 2018
                   Defendant.
 8
                      - - - - X
 9
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PLEA HEARING
10
    BEFORE: THE HONORABLE VICTOR A. BOLDEN, U.S.D.J.
11
12
   APPEARANCES:
    FOR THE GOVERNMENT: JOHN PIERPONT, ESQ.
13
                             U.S. Attorney's Office
                             157 Church Street
14
                             New Haven, CT 06510
15
16
    FOR THE DEFENDANT: GEORGE MOWAD, ESQ.
17
                             Yamin & Grant
                             83 Bank St.
18
                             Waterbury, CT 06702
19
20
2.1
22
                  Sharon Montini, RMR, FCRR
                     915 Lafayette Blvd
23
                     Bridgeport, CT 06604
                   Official Court Reporter
24
25
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: Good morning. Please be
 2
     seated. All right, we're here on United States v.
 3
     Gerry Matthews. Will counsel please state their
     appearances for the record.
 4
 5
                 MR. PIERPONT: Assistant United States
     Attorney John Pierpont on behalf of the United
 6
 7
     States. Joining me at counsel table is Special
     Agent Stephen West of the FBI, Special Agent Sean
 8
 9
     Darling of the IRS, and Financial Analyst Lisa
10
     Carney from the FBI.
11
                 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Pierpont
12
     and the whole crowd. I appreciate you all making it
13
     out on what they say is supposed to be a very stormy
14
     day.
                 MR. MOWAD: Good morning, your Honor.
15
16
     George Mowad on behalf of the defendant, Mr. Gerry
    Matthews, who is present and seated to my left at
17
18
     the counsel table.
19
                 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Mowad.
20
     Good morning, Mr. Matthews.
2.1
                 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, sir.
22
                 THE COURT: I understand, Mr. Pierpont,
23
     that the government's obligations under the Crime
24
    Victims' Rights Act have been satisfied?
25
                 MR. PIERPONT: They have, your Honor.
```

2

3

5

9

11

13

14

15

17

2.1

22

24

```
THE COURT: And today we're here to
    accept a quilty plea. Is it waiving indictment as
          We are waiving indictment?
                MR. PIERPONT: Waiver and plea, yes,
 4
    your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Matthews, I
6
7
    want to begin by telling you to take your time
    during this proceeding. I know there is allegedly
8
    weather out there and I know we have moved this
10
    earlier in the day to sort of accommodate some of
    the weather, but I am in no hurry. We'll take as
12
    much time as we need to go through this proceeding.
    I want to make sure before you leave here today that
    you -- before you engage in any of the activities
    you will engage in today, that you completely
16
    understand what you are doing today. If at any time
    you need -- you have any questions or issues or you
18
    need more time to speak to Mr. Mowad, just let me
19
    know and I will give you all of the time that you
20
    need. Do you understand, sir?
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.
                 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Matthews,
23
    before accepting your plea there are a number of
    questions I must ask you while you are under oath to
    assure myself it is a valid plea. Do you
```

```
1
     understand, sir?
 2
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
                 THE COURT: Ms. Perez, would you please
     swear in Mr. Matthews.
 4
 5
                 (Oath administered)
                 THE COURT: All right. For the record,
 6
 7
     I'm going to advise you of your rights. You have
     the right to remain silent. You have the right not
 8
 9
    to continue with a statement. Your statements can
10
    be used against you. You have the right to counsel
11
     at every stage of these proceedings. You have the
12
     right to have counsel appointed if you cannot afford
13
     counsel, and there is an attorney-client privilege
14
     which allows you to speak confidentially with your
15
     lawyer, Mr. Mowad. Do you understand these rights,
16
     sir?
17
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
18
                 THE COURT: And do you understand that
19
    having been sworn you will be subject to the
20
    penalties for perjury or for making a false
2.1
     statement if you do not answer truthfully here
     today?
22
23
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                 I understand, sir.
24
                 THE COURT: And do you understand that
25
     if the government chooses to prosecute you for
```

```
1
    perjury or for making a false statement, it can use
     against you any statement that you give here today
 2
    under oath? Do you understand that, sir?
 3
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: All right. Now, would you
    please state your full name for the record, sir.
 6
 7
                 THE DEFENDANT: Gerry Daniel Matthews.
 8
                 THE COURT: And have you ever used any
 9
     other name, sir?
10
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
11
                 THE COURT: What year were you born?
12
                 THE DEFENDANT: 1962.
13
                 THE COURT: And where were you born?
14
                 THE DEFENDANT: Leominster,
15
    Massachusetts.
16
                 THE COURT: Are you a United States
17
    citizen, sir?
18
                 THE DEFENDANT: I am.
19
                 THE COURT: Have you ever lived outside
20
     of the United States?
2.1
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
22
                 THE COURT: And how far did you go in
     school?
23
24
                 THE DEFENDANT: High school diploma,
25
     sir.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: Are you now, or have you
 2
     recently been, under the care of a physician,
    psychiatrist, social worker or counselor?
 3
 4
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                 No, sir.
 5
                 THE COURT: In the past 48 hours have
     you taken any narcotic drugs, medicine or pills?
 6
 7
                 THE DEFENDANT: Just synthroid for --
     just synthroid medicine.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: So you take medication
10
    prescribed by a physician for something?
11
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.
12
                 THE COURT: And that medication, does
13
    that affect your understanding of what is going on
14
    here today, sir?
15
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                No.
                 THE COURT: In the past 48 hours have
16
17
     you consumed any alcoholic beverages?
18
                 THE DEFENDANT: Glass of wine with
19
     dinner last night, sir.
2.0
                 THE COURT: And that glass of wine is
2.1
     not having any lasting effects on your ability to
22
     understand the proceedings today?
23
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
24
                 THE COURT: It's not creating any clouds
25
     of memory or anything of that nature?
```

```
1
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
 2
                 THE COURT: Have you ever been
    hospitalized or treated for alcoholism or narcotics
 3
     addiction?
 4
 5
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
                 THE COURT: And so today, as you are
 6
 7
    here before me, is your mind clear?
 8
                 THE DEFENDANT: It is, sir.
 9
                 THE COURT: And you do have the capacity
10
     and ability to understand what's going on here?
11
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12
                 THE COURT: Mr. Mowad, are you sure that
    Mr. Matthews understands the nature of these
13
14
    proceedings?
15
                 MR. MOWAD: Yes, sir.
16
                 THE COURT: And have you had any
17
     difficulty in communicating with him?
18
                 MR. MOWAD: No, sir.
19
                 THE COURT: And you have the discussed
20
    this case with him?
2.1
                 MR. MOWAD: Yes, sir. We have spent
22
     several hours going over this over several days and
23
     weeks.
24
                 THE COURT: And you believe he
25
    understands the rights he will be waiving by waiving
```

```
1
     indictment and pleading guilty?
 2
                 MR. MOWAD: Yes, sir.
 3
                 THE COURT: Do you have any doubt as to
     his competence to plead quilty at this time?
 4
 5
                 MR. MOWAD: No, sir.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Matthews, have you had
 6
 7
     an opportunity to discuss your case with Mr. Mowad?
                 THE DEFENDANT: I have, sir.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: And are you satisfied with
10
     the representation you have been provided?
11
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12
                 THE COURT: Now, I understand that you
13
     wish to waive indictment. Before you do so, I need
14
     to make sure you understand the exact nature of the
15
     right you are waiving. You have a constitutional
16
     right to be charged by an indictment of a grand jury
17
    based upon a finding by the grand jury of probable
18
     cause to believe that you committed the crime.
19
                 A grand jury is composed of at least 16,
20
     and not more than 23, persons, and at least 12 of
2.1
     the grand jurors must find that there is probable
22
     cause to believe that you committed the crime with
23
     which you are charged before you may be indicted.
24
     If you waive indictment by the grand jury, the case
25
     will proceed against you just as though you had been
```

```
1
     indicted on the basis of a charging document known
     as an information. That information is signed and
2
     filed by the United States attorney and without any
3
     review or finding of probable cause by citizens of a
 4
5
    grand jury.
                 Unless you waive indictment, you may not
6
7
    be charged with a felony unless a grand jury finds
    by a return of an indictment that there is probable
8
9
    cause to believe that a crime has been committed and
10
    that you committed it. Do you understand all of
11
    that, sir?
12
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do.
13
                 THE COURT: Mr. Matthews, have you been
    provided a copy of the information that the
14
    government wishes to file against you?
15
16
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
17
                 THE COURT: Mr. Pierpont, what is the
18
    nature of the charge against Mr. Matthews and the
19
    maximum sentence to which he could be exposed and
20
     any mandatory minimum sentence that may apply?
2.1
                 MR. PIERPONT: So Mr. Matthews, your
22
    Honor, would be waiving indictment and pleading
23
    quilty to one count of conspiracy to commit wire
24
     fraud, in violation of 18, United States Code,
25
     Section 1349. That carries a maximum term of
```

```
1
     imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum fine of
     $250,000, a term of supervised release of up to
 2
     three years, and a $100 special assessment.
 3
                 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very
 4
 5
    much, Mr. Pierpont.
                 Mr. Matthews, do you understand the
 6
 7
     charges against you?
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: And do you understand the
10
    penalties that are associated with those charges?
11
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
12
                 THE COURT: Have you discussed waiving
13
     your right to indictment by the grand jury with Mr.
14
    Mowad?
15
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16
                 THE COURT: Do you need more time to
17
     talk to Mr. Mowad about waiving your right to
18
     indictment?
19
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
20
                 THE COURT: Have any threats or promises
21
    been made to induce you to waive indictment?
22
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                 No, sir.
23
                 THE COURT: And do you wish to waive
24
     your right to indictment by a grand jury and to
25
     allow the charge to be based on the information
```

```
1
     filed by the United States attorney?
 2
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 3
                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mowad, is
     there any reason Mr. Matthews should not waive
 4
     indictment?
 5
                 MR. MOWAD: No, sir.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: All right, at this time why
     don't you go ahead and sign the waiver of indictment
 8
 9
     form.
10
                 MR. MOWAD: May I approach, your Honor?
11
                 THE COURT: Yes, please.
12
                 Based on the representations made to me
13
    by Mr. Matthews and by his counsel, Mr. Mowad, and
     the signed waiver of indictment form that has been
14
15
     executed, I do find that this waiver of indictment
16
     is knowingly and voluntarily made, and it is
17
     accepted, and I have signed it.
18
                 Mr. Pierpont, would you file the
19
     information with Ms. Perez.
20
                 MR. PIERPONT: Yes, your Honor.
2.1
                 THE COURT: All right, now turning to
22
     the plea. Mr. Matthews, have you had enough
23
     opportunity and information to discuss your case
24
     with Mr. Mowad?
25
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And do you believe he has answered your questions well enough and given you enough advice and quidance for you to make a decision about pleading quilty today, sir? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And do you have any concerns about whether Mr. Mowad has done a good job for you? THE DEFENDANT: None at all, sir. THE COURT: All right. What I'm going to do now, sir, is I'm going to review with you the various rights that you would have that you would be giving up if you decide to plead quilty today. going to go through each of them to make sure that you are aware of them before you enter your guilty plea. Please listen carefully because at the end of this review I'm going to ask you if you understand them and that you know you are waiving every one of those rights by entering a plea of guilty. The first and the most important thing you must understand is that you do not have to plead quilty even if you think you are quilty. Instead, you can require the government to prove its case at trial. Under our system of law the prosecutor has the burden of proving the guilt of a defendant

beyond a reasonable doubt, and if the prosecutor is

unable to meet this burden, the jury has the duty to find the defendant not guilty.

2.1

It sometimes happens in American courtrooms that a jury returns a verdict of not guilty, and what the jury was saying in those cases is not necessarily that it found the defendant to be innocent, but, rather, that the government failed to meet its burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant was guilty. Do you understand, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

are guilty, you do have a choice. You may plead guilty, as you apparently now wish to do, or you can change your mind and say to the government "meet your burden of proving my guilt beyond a reasonable doubt." And the way you exercise that option is by saying "not guilty" when I ask you how you wish to plead. You have a right to plead not guilty, and once you plead not guilty, or if you've already pled not guilty, you have a right to persist in that plea. Do you understand, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.

THE COURT: If you plead not guilty, the Constitution and federal laws entitle you to a

2.1

speedy, public trial by jury with the assistance of a lawyer at every stage of the proceedings on the charge in the information. If you could not afford counsel, you would have the right to have counsel appointed to represent you. At the trial you would be presumed innocent and the government would have to overcome that presumption by proving you guilty of each and every element of the crimes charged by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent. If the government were to fail to prove each and every element of the crimes charged, the jury would have the duty to find you not guilty. Do you understand, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.

THE COURT: In the course of a trial the witnesses for the government would have to come to court to testify in your presence, and your counsel would have the right to cross-examine the witnesses for the government, to object to evidence offered by the government, to offer evidence on your behalf, as well as to use a subpoena to obtain the attendance of witnesses to testify at trial on your behalf.

At trial, while you would have the right to testify if you chose to do so, you also could not

2

5

9

11

13

17

2.1

23

24

```
be required to testify. You have a constitutional
    right not to be compelled to take the stand at a
3
    criminal trial against yourself. If you decide not
    to testify, the Court would instruct the jury that
 4
    you were exercising your right and that it could not
    hold that against you.
6
7
                 If you decide to plead guilty, however,
    I will have to ask you questions about what you did
8
    in order to satisfy myself that you are in fact
10
    guilty, and you have to answer my questions and
    admit your quilt.
12
                 If you plead quilty and I accept your
    plea as valid, you will be giving up your
14
    constitutional right to a trial and all of the other
15
    rights I have just discussed. There will be no
16
    trial of any kind and no right to appeal the
    conviction, although under some circumstances you or
18
    government may have the right to appeal any sentence
19
    I impose. So I would simply enter a finding of
20
    quilty on the basis of your quilty plea. Do you
    understand, sir?
22
                                 Yes, your Honor.
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                 THE COURT:
                           And do you understand all of
    the rights I have just reviewed with you, sir?
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                 I do.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: Do you have any questions
     about anything I have already said?
 2
                 THE DEFENDANT:
 3
                                No questions, sir.
                 THE COURT:
                            All right. Mr. Matthews,
 4
 5
     are you willing to give up your right to a trial?
                                 Yes, sir.
 6
                 THE DEFENDANT:
 7
                 THE COURT: Are you willing to give up
     the other rights I have just discussed as well?
 8
 9
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10
                 THE COURT: The offense to which you
11
     intend to plead guilty is a felony offense, and if
12
     your plea is accepted you will be found quilty of
13
     this offense and you will be subject to sentencing,
14
     as I will describe to you in detail in a few
15
    minutes, but you also will be subject to other
16
     collateral consequences and demands. You will be
     deprived of valuable civil rights, such as the right
17
18
     to vote, the right to hold public office, the right
19
     to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any
20
     kind of firearm. A DNA sample will be collected
2.1
     from you to be used by the Bureau of Prisons or the
22
     probation office for analysis and indexing and
23
     inclusion in a federal database. You said you are a
24
     citizen, but if you were not, you could be deported
25
     or removed from the United States, denied
```

```
1
     citizenship and denied admission to the United
     States in the future.
 2
                 Finally, your quilty plea today may work
 3
     to your disadvantage in the future if you are found
 4
     quilty of another crime because there is a
 5
    possibility you could receive a more severe penalty
 6
 7
     at that time as a result of this quilty plea and
     conviction.
 8
 9
                 Mr. Matthews, do you understand the
10
     additional consequences of pleading guilty?
11
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.
12
                 THE COURT: Are you willing to accept
13
     all of those consequences and still willing to give
     up your right to a trial and the other rights I have
14
15
     discussed?
16
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
17
                 THE COURT: Is anyone threatening you or
     forcing you to enter a plea of guilty today, sir?
18
19
                                 No, sir.
                 THE DEFENDANT:
20
                 THE COURT: Do you want more time to
2.1
     talk to Mr. Mowad about giving up your right to
22
     trial and pleading guilty?
23
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24
                 THE COURT: You do want more time to
25
     talk to him?
```

```
1
                 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, excuse me. No, sir,
 2
     I'm set all.
                 THE COURT: That's fine. If you want
 3
    more time, that's fine as well. Either way.
 4
 5
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                 No, sir.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Mowad, are you sure that
 6
 7
    Mr. Matthews has had enough time and received enough
     information and quidance from you about the strength
 8
 9
     of the government's case and the strength of any
10
     defense case such that he's able to make a knowing,
11
     intelligent and voluntary choice to plead guilty
12
    here today?
13
                 MR. MOWAD: Yes, sir.
14
                 THE COURT: And are you convinced that
15
    he understands the rights he will be waiving by
16
    pleading guilty?
17
                 MR. MOWAD: Yes, sir.
18
                 THE COURT: Are you convinced that he
19
     understands the minimum and maximum sentence
     involved here?
20
2.1
                 MR. MOWAD: Yes, sir.
22
                 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr.
23
    Mowad.
24
                 Mr. Pierpont, I understand that there is
25
     a written plea agreement; is that correct?
```

```
1
                 MR. PIERPONT: That is correct, your
 2
     Honor.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Mowad, have you and Mr.
 3
    Matthews seen this?
 4
 5
                 MR. MOWAD: We have, your Honor.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Matthews, have you read
 6
 7
    the plea agreement carefully, sir?
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: All right. Would you like a
10
     recess or more time to review the plea agreement or
11
     talk to Mr. Mowad about whether this really is an
12
     agreement you wish to enter into?
13
                 THE DEFENDANT: It's not required, sir.
14
                 THE COURT: Mr. Pierpont, would you
15
     outline the terms of the plea agreement.
16
                 MR. PIERPONT: Yes, your Honor.
17
    plea agreement is a nine-page letter dated today,
    March 7, 2018, addressed to Attorney Mowad.
18
19
                 The first page lays out the elements of
20
    the offense to which Mr. Matthews will be pleading
2.1
     quilty. As I said before, that will be one -- it's
22
    a one-count information charging a violation of 18,
23
    United States Code, Section 1349.
24
                 That crime has two elements: First,
25
     that two or more persons in some way or manner
```

agreed to try to accomplish a common and unlawful plan to commit wire fraud as charged in the information; and two, that the defendant knew the unlawful purpose of the plan and willfully joined into it.

2.1

Page 2 of the letter contains the penalties. I have gone over these already, but again, your Honor, the offense carries a maximum penalty of 20 years' imprisonment, a term of supervised release of not more than three years, a maximum fine of \$250,000, and a special assessment of \$100.

There is also a section on restitution.

Restitution in this case is mandatory under 18,

United States Code, Section 3663A.

I would note that there is a paragraph in here particularly that allows -- where the defendant explicitly reserves his right to move the Court to apportion restitution among this defendant and other separately charged liable defendants in a manner other than jointly and severally, pursuant to 18, United States Code, Section 3664(h), and the government, for its part, agrees to respond to any such motion consistent with the facts underlying the conspiracy.

2.1

Page 3 talks a little bit about the sentencing guidelines and their applicability here. There is also a section about acceptance of responsibility. At this time the government agrees to recommend to the Court to reduce by two levels the defendant's adjusted offense level under Section 3E1.1(a), and to later make another motion provided the defendant still qualifies for an additional point as well.

The government does reserve the right to seek denial of the adjustment for acceptance of responsibility if the defendant engages in any acts unknown to the government at the time of the signing of the agreement which indicates -- and there are a couple of things laid out here, but indicates among them that the defendant has not terminated or withdrawn from criminal conduct or associations.

On to page 4, your Honor, there is a waiver of a right to appeal or collaterally attack the sentence. Except for very limited circumstances, the defendant will not be allowed to challenge his conviction in this matter. The defendant agrees not to appeal or collaterally attack his sentence in this matter provided the sentence does not exceed 240 months' imprisonment, a

1 three-year term of supervised release, a \$100 special assessment, a \$250,000 fine, and restitution 2 in any amount ordered by the Court. 3 Turning to page 5, there are waiver of 4 5 rights here. Your Honor went through them, but the waiver of right to indictment, the waiver of trial 6 7 rights and consequences of a quilty plea, and waiver of the statute of limitations to the extent that is 8 9 an issue in this case. 10 Page 5 to 6 talks about the acknowledgement of quilt and voluntariness of the 11 It also talks about the scope of the 12 13 agreement and some of the collateral consequences 14 that your Honor has gone over already. 15 Bottom of page 6 talks about the 16 satisfaction of federal criminal liability. And 17 page 7 has a section entitled No Other Promises. 18 I would add, your Honor, that page 8 and 19 9 contain a rider on restitution. 20 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. 2.1 Pierpont. 22 What I'm going to do now, Mr. Matthews, 23 is highlight certain provisions of the plea 24 agreement. I will begin by describing how 25 sentencing works.

2.1

As to any possible term of imprisonment, supervised release, criminal fine and other parts of a sentence, there are several things you must know. First, I cannot impose a sentence above what the statutory maximum sentence is or below any mandatory minimum, but I have discretion to determine where within that minimum and maximum the sentence should be, and that exercise of discretion will be based in large part on the arguments and facts set forth by the government and by you through your defense counsel, as well as the advice I receive from the United States Probation Office which will prepare a presentence investigation report.

Second, in deciding what sentence to impose, I must consider the factors set forth in the principal sentencing statute, 18 U.S.C. Section 3553. Those factors include the nature and circumstances of the crime, as well as your personal history and character. I have to decide upon a sentence that reflects the seriousness of the crime, that promotes respect for the law, and that provides just punishment. I also must consider what sentence is needed to protect the public and to deter others from engaging in criminal conduct, in addition to what sentence may serve rehabilitation goals such as

to provide you with educational or vocational training and medical care if needed. And the statute commands me to consider the need to provide restitution and the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants who have similar backgrounds and who have been convicted of similar criminal conduct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Third, I have to consider what sentence is recommended by the United States sentencing quidelines. I do not have to follow what the guidelines say, and I may depart or vary upward or downward from the quidelines, but that recommendation must be considered. Based principally on the seriousness of the crimes and on the defendant's past criminal behavior, the guidelines provide a presumptive sentencing range, i.e., a maximum and minimum sentence within the statute's permissible range. There may, however, be factors that would be present that would allow a departure from this presumptive quideline range. So there may be factors about your case that would cause me to sentence you to a longer or shorter term of imprisonment than the quidelines calculations.

Until you are sentenced, which will be after I receive a presentence report and hear from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
you, from your lawyer, and from the government, you
cannot know with certainty what the guidelines
recommendations will be, whether there will be
grounds to depart from the quidelines, and what the
ultimate sentence actually will be.
            Moreover, in deciding what sentence to
impose, I will very strongly consider, but I am not
bound by, what you and the government have agreed to
regarding sentencing in your plea agreement.
Therefore, you won't know your sentence until the
day of sentencing. And if I calculate your sentence
differently from what the sentencing quidelines
suggest or from what you and the government agreed
to or from what you hoped for, this does not allow
you to have the sentence cancelled, to withdraw your
guilty plea and to have the case go to trial.
you understand that, Mr. Matthews?
                            I do, your Honor.
            THE DEFENDANT:
            THE COURT: And do you understand that I
can impose a sentence more severe than you may
expect?
            THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
            THE COURT: Mr. Matthews, do you have
any questions about what I have explained about how
your sentence will be determined?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: I understand you wish to plead quilty to Count No. One of the information, 3 conspiracy to commit wire fraud. I want to discuss 4 5 with you the penalties and the sentencing scheme that are applicable in your case if you do plead 6 7 quilty. I want you to understand if you plead 8 9 quilty to this count you may be sentenced as 10 follows: A maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years; a maximum supervised release term of up to 11 three years, and if you were to violate any 12 13 condition of supervised release I could then 14 sentence you to additional time in prison for as much as a maximum of two years with no credit for 15 16 the time already spent on supervised release; that 17 you may be fined -- you may be assessed a fine of as 18 much as \$250,000; that I must impose a mandatory 19 special assessment of \$100; that you may be ordered 20 to make restitution. And you should know that 2.1 parole has been abolished, and so if you are 22 sentenced to prison, you will not be released on 23 parole. 24 Do you understand that your sentence 25 could be increased for a variety of reasons, for

```
1
     example if it is determined you have a more serious
 2
     criminal history than we understand to be the case
     now? Do you understand that, sir?
 3
 4
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
 5
                 THE COURT: Have you discussed this
    possibility with Mr. Mowad?
 6
 7
                 THE DEFENDANT: I have, sir.
                 THE COURT: Do you understand if such
 8
 9
    matters are brought to my attention and I decide to
10
     increase your sentence because of them, you may not
11
     withdraw your guilty plea? Do you understand that?
12
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
13
                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Matthews, in
14
     your plea agreement there are also references to
15
     rights to appeal. Do you understand those rights,
16
     sir?
17
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.
18
                 THE COURT: Mr. Pierpont, have you
19
     disclosed to the Court any and all provisions
20
     concerning waiver of appeal rights in the plea
2.1
     agreement?
22
                 MR. PIERPONT: Yes, your Honor.
23
                 THE COURT: Mr. Matthews, have these
24
     provisions concerning waiver of appeal rights been
25
     fully explained to you?
```

```
1
                 THE DEFENDANT: They have, sir.
                 THE COURT: So you do understand them?
 2
 3
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, sir.
 4
                 THE COURT: So in the plea agreement you
 5
    voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently waive your
     right to appeal or to attack the conviction or
 6
 7
     sentence of imprisonment imposed by the Court
    collaterally if that sentence does not exceed the
 8
 9
    following: 240 months; a three-year term of
10
    supervised release; a $100 special assessment; a
11
     $200,000 fine; and, restitution in any amount
12
    ordered by the Court, even if the Court imposes such
13
    a -- yes, did I screw something up?
14
                 MR. PIERPONT: I may have misheard.
15
                 THE COURT: No, I may have screwed it
16
    up.
17
                MR. PIERPONT: It is $250,000.
18
                 THE COURT: What did I say?
                 MR. PIERPONT:
19
                                I thought I heard
20
     200,000, but I want to make sure. I just want to
2.1
    make sure.
                 THE COURT: Yes, you are right.
22
23
    looking at the transcript there.
24
                 MR. PIERPONT: Thank you, your Honor.
25
                 THE COURT: Ms. Montini correctly got
```

```
1
     what I said, which was incorrect.
 2
                 So, yes, I'm sorry, it is a 240-month
 3
     term of imprisonment, a three-year term of
     supervised release, $100 special assessment,
 4
     $250,000 fine, and restitution in the amount ordered
 5
    by the Court.
 6
 7
                 So even if the Court imposes such a
     sentence based on an analysis different from that
 8
 9
     set forth in your plea agreement, you have waived
10
     your right to appeal or collaterally attack that
11
     conviction or sentence, and you should know that
12
     such waivers are generally enforceable. Do you
13
    understand all of this, sir?
14
                 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
15
                 THE COURT: And, Mr. Mowad, are there
16
     any sentencing issues that you believe would survive
17
     this waiver?
18
                 MR. MOWAD: No, your Honor.
19
                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Matthews, if
20
     despite this waiver you wish to appeal some issue
2.1
     that is claimed to survive it, you must file a
22
     notice of appeal within 14 days of sentencing.
                                                      Do
23
     you understand that deadline, sir?
24
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25
                 THE COURT: And are you willing to waive
```

```
1
     the appeal rights just described, sir?
 2
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                 I am, sir.
 3
                 THE COURT:
                            Now, Mr. Matthews, turning
     to the elements of the offense. I know Mr. Pierpont
 4
    has sort of already articulated them. I will just
 5
     sort of ask him to reiterate them because I
 6
 7
     certainly think it is a critical part of it.
                 So you are charged with a violation of
 8
 9
     18 U.S.C. Section 1349, conspiracy to commit wire
10
     fraud. I'm going to ask Mr. Pierpont again to
     explain the elements of the offense to which you
11
12
     will plead quilty. And by "elements," sir, I mean
13
    those facts that the government would have to prove
14
     beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be
15
     convicted were you to decide to plead not guilty and
16
     instead proceeded to trial. And I want you to bear
     in mind that the government would have to prove each
17
18
     of those facts by persuading a jury of 12 persons
19
     that those facts were true beyond a reasonable
20
     doubt. Do you understand, sir?
2.1
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
22
                 THE COURT: Mr. Pierpont.
23
                 MR. PIERPONT: Yes, your Honor.
24
     U.S.C. Section 1349 contains two elements that the
25
     government would have to demonstrate beyond a
```

```
1
     reasonable doubt for there to be a conviction.
     first is that two or more persons in some way or
 2
    manner agreed to try to accomplish a common unlawful
 3
    plan to commit wire fraud as described in the
 4
     information, and second, that the defendant knew of
 5
     the unlawful purpose of the plan and willfully
 6
 7
     joined it.
                 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr.
 8
 9
     Pierpont.
10
                 Do you understand the elements of the
11
     offense, Mr. Matthews?
12
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
13
                 THE COURT: Do you have any questions
14
     about any of the elements of this offense?
15
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
16
                 THE COURT: So now what we're going to
17
     do, Mr. Matthews, is turn to the conduct that brings
18
     us here today. I'm going to ask you to tell me in
19
     your own words what you did that shows that you are,
20
     in fact, quilty of the charge to which you are now
     offering to plead guilty.
2.1
22
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. On
23
    October 15, 2014, for the first time I was told that
24
     I owned a 99 percent interest in Palm House, LLC,
25
     owner of the Palm House Hotel, located in Palm
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
Beach, Florida. I was told that my brother, Robert
Matthews, had been stealing money from the hotel and
that if I didn't assign my interest over immediately
I could be held responsible.
            Later that day I called my brother and
he confirmed that on paper I did hold a 99 percent
interest in the Palm House, LLC. A few days later I
found out that my name had been forged on the
operating agreement for the Palm House, LLC.
            On October 22, 2014, I gave my brother
power of attorney to act on my behalf in all
elements related to the Palm House, LLC. I also let
my brother use a savings account I had up here in
Connecticut where monies were wired in and wired
out, all at his direction.
            I regret my actions and I am here to
accept responsibility for such.
            THE COURT: All right. Just to make
sure I understand exactly, what was the unlawful
plan to commit wire fraud particularly --
specifically that you were engaged in with your
brother?
            THE DEFENDANT: He used my bank account
to wire money in and wire money out.
            THE COURT: I see. So what you are
```

```
1
     saying is that your brother used money from a bank
     account that you had to wire money in and out for --
2
     I assume for an illegal purpose. And the illegal
3
    purpose was what?
 4
5
                 THE DEFENDANT: He -- may I speak?
                 THE COURT: You can consult with Mr.
6
7
    Mowad.
                 (Discussion held off the record)
8
9
                 THE DEFENDANT: Sir?
10
                 THE COURT: Yes.
11
                 THE DEFENDANT: May I?
12
                 THE COURT: Yes.
13
                 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. I allowed my
    brother to wire funds into my savings account, and
14
15
    at his direction the funds were then wired out.
16
    was after the fact that I found out that he was
17
    wiring money in and out for what could be unlawful
18
    purposes as it relates to the EB-5 program in Palm
19
    Beach, Florida.
20
                 THE COURT: All right. So you are
2.1
    saying that you knew it was unlawful what he was
22
    doing?
23
                 THE DEFENDANT: At the time I did not
24
    know it was unlawful.
25
                 THE COURT: You can consult, Mr. Mowad.
```

1 (Discussion held off the record) Sir, at the time that 2 THE DEFENDANT: the funds were wired in and out I did not know it 3 was unlawful. When I found out about it, I let it 4 5 continue. 6 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 7 All right, now I'm going to ask Mr. Pierpont to summarize what you did that makes you 8 9 quilty of the charge to which you intend to plead 10 guilty and to summarize the government's evidence as to the charge against you. Please listen carefully 11 12 because when Mr. Pierpont is finished I'll ask 13 whether you agree with his summary of what you did. 14 Mr. Pierpont. 15 MR. PIERPONT: Thank you, your Honor. 16 So the government has been conducting a wide-scale 17 investigation into the misuse and misappropriation 18 of funds connected with the development of the Palm 19 House Hotel down in Florida, and in particular 20 taking a look at one individual who is Mr. Matthews' 2.1 brother, Robert Matthews, who was the lead 22 developer. 23 Money was used to develop that project 24 in connection with a program called the EB-5 25 program. The EB-5 visa program is a program by

which wealthy foreign investors can invest money in projects here in the United States, and if they invest between 500,000 and a million dollars and create ten jobs, they're entitled to a green card or some sort of lawful status. And that's the heart of it. There are a couple of other requirements as well.

2.1

So the money is coming in from these foreign investors. Misrepresentations were made by Bob Matthews to these foreign investors about the Palm House Hotel, who will be working at the Palm House Hotel, who will be on the advisory board of the Palm House Hotel, and who would be members of the Palm House Hotel.

Additionally, misrepresentations were made to the lending entity about how the funds would be used. Specifically, representations were made that they would be used only for the development of the Palm House Hotel. In fact, as the government would demonstrate, these funds were misappropriated and were sent through a variety of accounts, including Mr. Gerry Matthews.

, a savings account up here in Connecticut in his name.

Mr. Gerry Matthews, without his

1 knowledge, was put on paper as the 99 percent owner 2 of the entity that controlled the Palm House Hotel. In October of 2014, Mr. Matthews was confronted 3 with, one, the knowledge that he was the owner of 4 the Palm House Hotel, and had been since 2012, and 5 two, the fact that his brother had been stealing 6 7 money from the Palm House Hotel. Once he found out about that 8 9 information, Mr. Matthews took -- Mr. Gerry Matthews 10 took additional steps to help further the 11 conspiracy, both as Mr. Gerry Matthews has 12 described, and also by taking steps to help his 13 brother continue to maintain control of the Palm 14 House Hotel as against these investing entities, and 15 other individuals as well. 16 And so Mr. Gerry Matthews joins the 17 conspiracy at least by October of 2014 and takes 18 affirmative steps to help further it after that 19 point. 20 THE COURT: And just to be sure I'm 2.1 clear, what is the government's understanding of the 22 further steps Mr. Gerry Matthews took? 23 MR. PIERPONT: So the government's 24 understanding of the further steps that were took, 25 there are a couple that are listed specifically in

```
1
     the information; that is, first executing a power of
     attorney on or about October 20th so that Mr. Robert
 2
    Matthews could continue to act in Gerry Matthews'
 3
     name and control the Palm House Hotel. Again, it's
 4
     the government's position here that the fact that
 5
     the Palm House Hotel was in Gerry Matthews' name was
 6
 7
     a sham from the get-go because he wasn't even aware
     that he was the owner of it, but he's taking steps
 8
     to add a veneer of legitimacy there.
 9
10
                 He began to send letters as well
11
     changing the membership and ownership, the
12
    management structure of the property as well after
13
     that time period, and I believe there were some
14
     wires that continued to go through.
15
                 In fact, just give me one second, your
16
     Honor.
17
                 THE COURT: Yes, please.
18
                 (Discussion held off the record).
19
                 MR. PIERPONT: So those right now would
20
    be affirmative acts that the government would put on
2.1
     the record that Mr. Gerry Matthews took to further
22
     the conspiracy once he became aware of what his
23
    brother was doing at the Palm House Hotel.
24
                 THE COURT: And just recount for me
25
     again -- I just want to make sure I understand
```

1 clearly. I have read this. So there is this -what was the underlying scheme in relation to the 2 EB-5 program? It was to do what? 3 MR. PIERPONT: Sure. So the victims in 4 5 this matter -- I guess I'll maybe start there. you have these foreign investors who are investing 6 7 money. THE COURT: And they're investing the 8 9 money with the notion that this was going to help 10 with their immigration status? 11 MR. PIERPONT: That's right, they were, 12 and they were going to make money on the project as 13 well. 14 THE COURT: Yep. MR. PIERPONT: The idea is it was an 15 16 investment where they are going to put money into 17 the Palm House Hotel. The Palm House Hotel in turn 18 would make money and they would get a return on 19 They would also collect -- they would also, 20 if they created ten jobs as well, be entitled to a 2.1 lawful permanent status here in the United States or 22 a green card. 23 In connection with getting those foreign 24 investors to invest money, Bob Matthews made 25 misrepresentations. Those are detailed in the

2.1

information that the government has filed here, but they include, amongst others, as to who would be on the advisory board. It included pictures of Donald Trump and Hillary Clinton, Bill Clinton. There is no indication that they were in any way involved in the advisory board. There were discussions about wealthy individuals, including the Koch brothers, one of the Koch brothers and others, that were going to be owners or part owners of some of the residential units within the Palm House Hotel. That also was not true.

These sorts of misrepresentations, your Honor, were made to induce EB-5 money to come into the country for development at the Palm House Hotel. And it was at that point, once the money is coming in, that Bob Matthews and his co-conspirators began to divert it for other purposes for which that money was not intended. One way that they did that was wiring money through Gerry Matthews' account, and then that money in turn would be used for -- to go into other accounts that were owned by Bob Matthews and his co-conspirators, and was just generally to sustain their lifestyle, to include buying houses out of foreclosure, buying properties in Connecticut, paying off mortgages, and paying their

1 taxes as well. 2 THE COURT: So some of the funds that Bob Matthews was receiving from these foreign 3 investors was going in Gerry Matthews' account. 4 That is correct. 5 MR. PIERPONT: THE COURT: Gerry Matthews' account. 6 7 And at some point in time what you are saying is that Gerry Matthews then knew that's what his 8 9 brother was using the account for and allowed it to 10 continue, and that's essentially how he is now tied 11 into this conspiracy. 12 That's right, your Honor. MR. PIERPONT: 13 And I want to be very clear about what I am saying, 14 because what I am saying on the record here is that 15 the affirmative steps that Gerry Matthews took --16 and I don't have this information in front of me 17 right now. There was a lot of money that moved in 18 and out of Gerry Matthews' account. I don't know 19 the exact timing of when money going into that 20 account ended, but, in any event, the affirmative 2.1 steps whereby Gerry Matthews joined the conspiracy 22 and helped further the conspiracy was to actually 23 begin to act as an owner of the Palm House Hotel and 24 take steps in his ownership interest when, in fact, 25

he was the owner on paper only and the whole thing

```
1
     -- in other words, it added I think a veneer of
     legitimacy to the Palm House Hotel. That's what the
 2
     government's proffer of facts are right now.
 3
                 THE COURT: Okay, hang on one second.
 4
     just want to make sure it's clear in my head. Do we
 5
     have a ballpark figure? What are we talking about
 6
 7
     in terms of funds in this account?
                 MR. PIERPONT: Generally or just into
 8
    Mr. Gerry Matthews' account?
 9
10
                 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Gerry Matthews'
11
     account.
12
                 MR. PIERPONT: So I think the government
13
    has a number that is around 3 million and change
14
     that went through the account, and we have thus far
15
    been able to tie a third to a half of it to EB-5
16
    money.
17
                 You have to understand, your Honor, that
     there are a lot of accounts in play and it's moving
18
19
     through a lot of different steps, and we're
20
     obviously trying to be as conservative as possible
2.1
     for time. For instance, I know there is one
22
     $700,000 wire, which would very much change what
23
    percentage, and we're still working on looking at
24
     whether the source of that money is EB-5 money.
25
                 THE COURT: So Gerry Matthews allows Bob
```

1 Matthews -- is that correct? 2 MR. PIERPONT: That's correct. 3 THE COURT: Bob Matthews to place various sums -- significant various sums of money 4 into his account. 5 That's right. 6 MR. PIERPONT: 7 THE COURT: Which Gerry Matthews then understands to be for a purpose -- at some point he 8 9 understands it's for a purpose that certainly is 10 illicit. MR. PIERPONT: That's right. And it is 11 12 fair to say, your Honor, that I think that although 13 Gerry Matthews may not have known when the money 14 began to go through his account, what the source of 15 that money was, he was aware that his brother is 16 moving money through his account. That money is 17 being applied to his brother's credit card. So the 18 arrangement itself is something that was atypical. 19 THE COURT: Just to simplify it for my 20 own mind and understanding, essentially what you are 2.1 saying is that the crime that Mr. Gerry Matthews is 22 engaged in is allowing his account, substantial sums 23 of money coming through, that he at some point 24 really understood was not properly being earned. 25 And it wasn't his money, in any event.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. PIERPONT: Right. I think that is in part what we are saying, but if you are asking me specifically to point to affirmative acts or acts that demonstrate that Gerry Matthews knowingly and willingly joined the conspiracy, as we would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt if we were to go to trial, the facts that I would point you to, your Honor, are those that I have gone through before, which is that there are -- there was steps that he took to help his brother continue to maintain control of the Palm House Hotel knowing at that point that his brother had sort of created the scheme involving the Palm House Hotel and knowing that his brother -- or at least being on notice as people confronted him -- had been robbing from that, and he takes steps -- he sort of throws in his lot with his brother to try to help him continue to maintain control of the Palm House Hotel. THE COURT: And I apologize if I am being particularly dense this morning. And those steps were what? MR. PIERPONT: So those steps, your Honor, would include executing a power of attorney so that the developer -- so that Bob Matthews could continue to act in Gerry Matthews' name. It also

```
1
     includes a letter that was sent that was believed --
 2
                 THE COURT: This is on page 5 of the
     information?
 3
                 MR. PIERPONT: That is correct, that's A
 4
 5
     through C.
                 THE COURT: 19.
 6
 7
                 MR. PIERPONT: 19, A through C, on page
     5 of the information.
 8
 9
                 And I guess maybe, your Honor, one thing
10
     in particular to tie this together is if you look at
     19B. He approves a letter that is meant to oust
11
12
     someone that we know about who's name is -- who we
13
    refer to as Minority Owner 1. He was one of the
14
     individuals that confronted Gerry Matthews about
15
    what his brother was doing. And so after working
16
    with his brother and deciding to join the
17
     conspiracy, he tries to remove this minority owner
18
     from a position there to frustrate that minority
19
     owner's ability to make things right at the Palm
20
    House Hotel.
2.1
                 THE COURT: And the sending of the
22
     email, the October 21st email, how does the
23
     October 21st email play into furthering the
24
     conspiracy?
25
                 MR. PIERPONT: So at that point, your
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
Honor, that email that is sent is an email that is
sent by Gerry Matthews to a co-conspirator in this
case appointing him as the new managing member -- or
somebody who would replace the Minority Owner 1 as
the individual who had the authority to run things
on the Palm House Hotel.
            THE COURT: Okay. Anything further, Mr.
Pierpont, on that point, on this notion about what
the government's evidence would be about what Mr.
Matthews did?
            MR. PIERPONT: Just give me one second,
your Honor.
            THE COURT: Take your time.
            (Discussion held off the record.)
            MR. PIERPONT: So, your Honor -- excuse
me just one more minute.
            (Discussion held off the record)
            MR. PIERPONT: So, your Honor, again, I
think that you take that information, you couple it
with the fact that he is aware that money is moving
through his account for some atypical purpose.
well, he learns about what happens and he takes
steps to throw in his lot with his brother.
again, to be clear, I know you had asked sort of how
we would demonstrate this. We obviously have the
```

```
1
     emails. We have the bank documents. We have the
     statements of witnesses and other documentation as
 2
 3
    well.
                 THE COURT: Okay. All right, thank you,
 4
 5
    Mr. Pierpont.
                 All right, Mr. Mowad, is there anything
 6
 7
     you wish to add?
                 MR. MOWAD: Your Honor, just to clarify,
 8
 9
     I believe the only misstatement was on a year. As
10
     far as the ownership on paper, that wasn't 2012,
     that was 2013.
11
12
                 THE COURT: All right.
13
                 And, Mr. Matthews, hearing the summary
     of what you did, just specifically focussing on both
14
15
     the acts you engaged in to both participate and
16
     further the conspiracy, some of which are outlined
17
     in the information about executing the power of
18
     attorney, approving a letter, sending this email
19
     about the management structure, do you disagree with
20
     any of those in terms of your involvement?
2.1
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
22
                 THE COURT: Is there anything else you
23
     wish to say about Mr. Pierpont's summary of what you
24
     did?
25
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
```

2

5

9

10

11

24

25

```
THE COURT: All right. So does the --
     returning back to the plea agreement. Does the
 3
    written plea agreement and the other matters we have
     discussed today as outlined by Assistant U.S.
 4
    Attorney Pierpont fully and accurately reflect your
    understanding of the agreement you have entered into
 6
 7
    with the government?
 8
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
                 THE COURT: And you are aware that your
     attorney, Mr. Mowad, and the prosecutor, Mr.
     Pierpont, have discussed your agreement to plead
12
    quilty? Are you aware of that?
13
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14
                 THE COURT: And is your willingness to
15
    plead guilty today based on the prior discussions
16
    between Mr. Mowad and Mr. Pierpont that have
17
    resulted in the plea agreement that we have before
18
    us?
19
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20
                 THE COURT: And other than the promises
2.1
    contained in the written agreement between you and
22
    the government, has anyone made any promises that
23
    are causing you to plead quilty here today, sir?
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
                 THE COURT: Has anyone made any threats
```

```
1
     against you or is there anyone in any way forcing
     you to plead guilty or otherwise coercing you to
 2
    plead quilty, sir?
 3
 4
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
 5
                 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty
     today of your own free will because you are, in
 6
 7
     fact, quilty?
 8
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 9
                 THE COURT: All right. If you are ready
10
     to sign the plea agreement, go ahead.
                 MR. PIERPONT: May I approach, your
11
12
     Honor?
13
                 THE COURT: Yes, please.
14
                 All right. Mr. Matthews, at this time
     I'll have the clerk of court ask you how you wish to
15
    plead. This is your last opportunity to decide
16
17
    whether you wish to go through with this and enter a
     quilty plea. Are you ready to be put to plea at
18
19
    this time, sir?
                 THE DEFENDANT: I am, sir.
20
2.1
                 THE COURT: Would you like to have the
22
     charge read to you or do you waive a reading of the
23
     charge?
24
                 THE DEFENDANT: I waive a reading of the
25
     charge.
```

1 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Perez. 2 THE CLERK: In the case of United States 3 America v. Gerry Matthews, Criminal Number 3:18CR43(VAB), as to Count One of the information 4 charging you with a violation of Title 18, United 5 States Code, Section 1349, what is your plea? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: I plead quilty. THE CLERK: Your Honor, the defendant 8 9 pleads quilty as to Count One of the information. THE COURT: Thank you very much. 10 11 On the basis of the plea agreement and 12 the other matters discussed today, the answers given 13 by Mr. Matthews under oath, on the record, and in 14 the presence of his counsel, Mr. Mowad, to the 15 questions of the Court, the remarks of Mr. Mowad and 16 the remarks of Assistant U.S. Attorney Pierpont, it 17 is the finding of the Court in the case of United 18 States v. Gerry Matthews that the defendant is fully 19 competent and capable of entering an informed plea, 20 that the defendant is aware of the nature of the 2.1 charge and the consequences of the plea, and that 22 the plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea 23 supported by an independent basis in fact containing 24 each of the essential elements of the offense. 25 The Court finds that the defendant knows

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

of his rights to plead not quilty and to a trial and related rights, and that he's giving up these rights by his plea of quilty; that he knows the maximum possible sentence and any minimum required sentence in terms of imprisonment, supervised release, monetary fine, forfeiture, restitution, and payment of a special assessment; that he knows of the Court's obligation to consider the sentencing statute and the sentencing quidelines; and that he knows of and accepts the terms of any plea agreement provision waiving his right to appeal or to attack his sentence collaterally. The plea to Count One of the information is therefore accepted, and the defendant is now adjudged guilty of that offense. Accordingly, a finding of guilty shall enter and this case is referred to the United States Probation Office for a presentence investigation report. Mr. Pierpont, I assume the government has not filed a Section 851(b) second offender notice? MR. PIERPONT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Matthews, the United States probation officer will prepare a presentence report on you, and this report will be submitted to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

the Court to assist it in determining the appropriate sentence in your case. Please bear in mind the probation officers work for the court, not the United States attorney, so the cooperation of that of your family and friends with the United States probation officer will generally benefit you. It is very important, however, that you carefully discuss with your attorney what you say to the probation officer because the presentence report is very important in the process of determining your sentence under the sentencing guidelines. addition, anything that you, your family or friends or anyone else tells the probation officer could have an impact on your sentence and other consequences as well. You also have the right to have your attorney present during your discussions with the probation officer. Do you understand, sir? THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. THE COURT: So please read and review the presentence report with your attorney. sentencing I will ask if you have read the presentence report, understood it, and given any response you have to it to the probation officer or to your attorney. Mr. Mowad, I understand there is an

```
1
     application for release pending sentencing; is that
 2
     correct?
 3
                 MR. MOWAD: Yes, your Honor.
 4
                 THE COURT: And so I understand it, we
 5
     will be releasing Mr. Matthews on his own
     recognizance?
 6
 7
                 MR. MOWAD: That's my understanding,
 8
     sir.
 9
                 THE COURT: There are other conditions
10
     you all have agreed to?
                 MR. MOWAD: My understanding is, with
11
12
     regards to travel, any international travel would
13
     need to be approved by the office of adult
14
    probation. As far as travel within the United
15
     States, the contiguous 48 states and the other two,
16
    Mr. Matthews' daughter is expecting his first
17
     grandchild in the next week and a half.
18
     understanding is his travel to Maui, where his
19
     daughter is going to be giving birth, has been
20
     approved and is allowed by the office of adult
2.1
    probation, and my understanding is the U.S.
22
    Attorney's Office has agreed with that.
23
                 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr.
24
    Mowad.
25
                 Mr. Pierpont, what's the government's
```

1 position concerning any likelihood of fleeing or posing any danger to any other person or the 2 3 community? 4 MR. PIERPONT: The government agrees 5 with Attorney Mowad what he proffered there. would note, your Honor, that we have -- our 6 7 investigation, Mr. Gerry Matthews has been aware of our investigation as early as May. He has --8 9 THE COURT: May of 2017? 10 MR. PIERPONT: May of 2017, that's right. We have been in contact with him all that 11 12 time. He's known what we've been looking at. He's 13 not at any point fled or tried to flee or given any indication of that. On top of that, Mr. Matthews, I 14 15 understand, has community ties here. He has a 16 business here as well. From a flight of risk, the government 17 18 does not see it here, and so the government is 19 comfortable with a release on his own recognizance, 20 again subject to international travel, as was 2.1 described there. The government certainly doesn't 22 have an issue with him being there for the birth of 23 his first grandchild as well. And again, this is 24 not the case, your Honor, where the government I

think sees an ongoing risk to the community either.

25

1 So for those reasons, the government agrees with Attorney Mowad's application. 2 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. 3 4 Pierpont. 5 Based on the representations made by counsel for the defendant, and given the 6 7 government's position as well, I hereby find that release pending sentence on Mr. Matthews' own 8 9 recognizance, with reporting requirements to the 10 probation office, is appropriate in this case under 11 18 U.S.C. Section 3143. The application for release 12 is, therefore, granted, and we'll put whatever the 13 standard conditions are in place. 14 Mr. Matthews, you do understand that a 15 failure to appear for sentencing may subject you to 16 additional penalties for the offense of failure to 17 appear or for violation of a court order? Do you 18 understand that, sir? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Under Local Rule 2.1 32(f), I'm going to defer entering a scheduling 22 order. I would like the parties to meet and confer, 23 consult with probation to arrive at mutually 24 agreeable deadlines for disclosure of memorandum and 25 sentencing. I think we need an order on or before

```
1
    March 16th.
 2
                 Any questions, concerns regarding this
 3
     schedule?
               Mr. Pierpont?
                 MR. PIERPONT: Not from the government,
 4
 5
     your Honor.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Mowad, any questions,
 6
 7
     concerns regarding that schedule?
                 MR. MOWAD: No, your Honor.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: All right. Anything
10
     further, Mr. Pierpont?
11
                 MR. PIERPONT: Can I just have one
12
    moment, your Honor?
13
                 THE COURT: Yes, please.
                 (Discussion held off the record)
14
15
                 MR. PIERPONT: So, your Honor, I just
16
     want to be clear, I know we were -- some of us were
17
    here at a previous date last time around. I know
18
    that Mr. Matthews had the opportunity to meet with
19
    probation. I don't think he has yet gone through
20
    processing with the marshals, and so that would be
2.1
     the next appropriate step, something that I would
22
     ask Mr. Matthews to go through while we're here and
23
     everybody is here rather than doing that at a later
24
     date.
25
                 THE COURT: That's fine. Does that mean
```

```
we need to push back the 3/16 deadline? It's still
 1
     fine, though?
 2
                 MR. PIERPONT: The 3/16 deadline is
 3
     fine, your Honor. It's just one thing I wanted to
 4
 5
    make sure is on the record.
                 MR. MOWAD: Before we leave today we'll
 6
 7
     meet and go through processing with the United
     States marshals.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Anything further from you,
10
     Mr. Mowad?
11
                 MR. MOWAD: No, sir.
                 THE COURT: All right, thank you both.
12
13
                 We're adjourned.
14
                 (Proceeding concluded 10:10)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 3/29/18 Date /S/_ Sharon Montini Official Reporter